Effect Of L-Citrulline And Ranitidine On Indomethacin-Induced Gastric Ulcer In Male Albino Wister Rats
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Abstract

Aim: to investigate the effect of L-citrulline and ranitidine (RAN) on indomethacin-induced gastric ulcer in male albino Wister rats. Methods: 50 male albino Wister rats were divided into 5 groups (10 rats each): control received normal saline, indomethacin (IND) group; in which gastric ulceration was induced by a single dose of IND (30mg/kg) intragastrically, IND+RAN group; pretreated with RAN (50mg/kg) intragastrically, IND+ L-citrulline group; pretreated with L-citrulline (900mg/kg) intragastrically, IND+RAN+L-citrulline group; received co-treatment with RAN and L-citrulline intragastrically. At the end of experiments, ulcer index (U.I.) and preventive index (P.I.) and gastric juice pH, volume of gastric juice, free and total gastric acidity, pepsin activity and mucin content were assessed. Gastric homogenates were used for determination of Malondialdehyde (MDA), (nitrite/nitrate) NO content, prostaglandin E2 (PGE2), myeloperoxidase (MPO) activity and heme oxygenase (HO) activity. Results: pretreatment with either RAN or L-citrulline alone significantly reduced U.I. and also significantly reduced gastric juice volume, free and total acidity, pepsin activity, and gastric MDA with concomitant significant increase in pH of gastric juice. But, RAN insignificantly alter mucin content, NO content, PGE2, MPO activity and HO activity compared to IND group. While, pretreatment with L-citrulline alone significantly increased mucin content, NO content, PGE2, HO activity and significantly decreased MPO activity compared to either IND group or RAN group. Co-administration of RAN and L-citrulline resulted in more significant reduction of U.I. providing 87.30% prevention, and also more significant reduction of gastric juice volume, free and total acidity, pepsin activity, gastric MDA and MPO activity with concomitant more significant increase in pH of gastric juice and NO content, PGE2 synthesis and HO activity compared to either IND group or RAN groups. Conclusions: It could be concluded from this present study that the combination of L-citrulline and RAN afford a good gastroprotective potential against the gastric ulceration induced by IND better than each drug alone.
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INTRODUCTION

Gastric ulcer is one of the most serious diseases in the world (1). The etiology of gastric ulceration is multifactorial and not clearly defined, but some predisposing factors have been implicated (2). This include duration of starvation, nature of food ingested, bile reflux (3), lessened mucosal resistance (4), alteration of gastric mucosal blood flow (5), disruption of gastric mucosal barrier by stress (4), decrease in alkaline mucosal bicarbonate and mucus secretion (6), over dosage and/or prolonged administration of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) (7), persistent infection with Helicobacter pylori (8), Zollinger Ellison syndrome (9).

Pathophysiology of gastric ulcer occurs due to an imbalance between the aggressive factors (acid, pepsin and Helicobacter pylori) and the defensive factors (gastric mucus and bicarbonate secretion, prostaglandins, innate resistance of the mucosal cells) (10).

Indomethacin (IND), a potent NSAID was introduced in 1963 for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis and related diseases (11, 12). A reduction in the biosynthesis of prostaglandin (PG) is the pharmacological background to both the anti-inflammatory action and the harmful side effects of IND and other NSAIDs (13). The gastrointestinal adverse effects of NSAIDs, especially in the stomach, are one of the most serious complications in patients taking these drugs (14).

Citrulline is a non-essential amino acid in mammals, closely related to biosynthesis of L-arginine (15). It is readily converted to L-arginine, in the kidney, vascular endothelium and other tissues, thus raising its plasma and tissue levels (16). It has been demonstrated that L-arginine can elicit a gastroprotective effect by preventing the inducible NOs activity in the gastric mucosa of rats with water immersion restraint for treatment of gastric ulcer (17). Citrulline was first identified from the juice of watermelon (18). It was later obtained from tryptic digestion of casein (19). In most mammals, the small intestine is the major source of circulating citrulline which is utilized in the endogenous synthesis of arginine (20).

Citrulline is a co-product of nitric oxide synthesis (21). Nitric oxide (NO) functions as a cellular messenger in the cardiovascular system and is a pivotal vasoprotection molecule (22). Also, NO inhibits gastric acid secretion (23), and also enhances plasma concentrations of pancreatic glucagon, which is a physiological inhibitor of gastric acid secretion (24).

A widely used drug associated with rare idiosyncratic hepatotoxicity is the histamine H2 receptor antagonist ranitidine (RAN)(25). Idiosyncratic RAN occurs in few people taking the drug. However, extensive liver damage has occurred in individuals undergoing RAN therapy (26). Thus, there is need for more effective and safe antiulcer agents.

Some previous experimental studies have been performed to evaluate the anti-ulcer effect of L-citrulline, however, this study was undertaken to assess the effect of both L-citrulline and ranitidine, separately and combined together on indomethacin-induced gastric ulcer in male albino Wister rats, and also to assess other possible mechanisms for the anti-ulcer activity of L-citrulline, rather than it is NO inducer.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals:
This study was carried out on 50 male albino rats of Wister strain, 12-16 weeks old, weighing about (180-220gm) were housed, in groups of 5 rats in each cage, under standard laboratory conditions at room temperature (28±2°C) with 12h light and 12h dark cycles. The rats had free access to water and food. All the experiments were performed during the same time of day, between 9 a.m. and 12 p.m. to avoid variation due to diurnal rhythms (27).

Drugs and chemicals:
Indomethacin was obtained from sigma pharmatheutical industries Egypt. Ranitidine was provided by Glaxosmith Kline, Egypt. L-citrulline was obtained from Sigma chemical Co.

Experimental design:
All rats were fasted for 24h prior to the induction of gastric ulcer, but allowed free access to water except for the last hour before the induction of gastric ulcer. The animals were divided into five groups (10rats each): Group I (control group); Rats in this group received normal saline 1ml/rat via intragastric tube for 7 days.

Group II (IND group); In which gastric ulceration was induced by a single dose of IND (30mg/kg) and given via an intragastric tube(28).

Group III (IND+RAN group); In which animals pretreated with RAN in a dose of 50mg/kg body weight intragastrically (29).

Group IV (IND+ L-citrulline group); In which animals were pretreated with 900mg/kg of L-citrulline(30) intragastrically.

Group V (IND+RAN+ L-citrulline); in which the animals concurrently pretreated with RAN and L-citrulline intragatrically.

All pretreatments in groups (III, IV,V) given for 7 days prior to the induction of the gastric ulcer by IND administration.

Pyloric ligation:
After one week of the treatment either normal saline, RAN or L-citrulline, all animals were subjected to pyloric ligation as described by Alumets et al.(31) one hour before induction of gastric ulcer in (groups II, III,IV& V). Under pentobarbitone anesthesia (40mg/kg intraperitoneally), a mid-line abdominal incision was performed and the pyloric portion of the stomach was gently mobilized and ligated with a silk ligature. The needle was passed along the upper border of the pylorus behind its posterior surface avoiding the gastroduodenal artery and passed out on its lower border where it crosses the omentum. The ligature was tied in order to close the pylorus without crushing its wall. The abdominal incision was sutured and the animals were allowed to recover from anesthesia for a period of one hour.

Assessment of gastric mucosal lesions:
The animals were sacrificed by cervical decapitation 4h after IND administration (27). Each stomach was removed and opened along the greater curvature, and the gastric juice was collected. The stomachs were washed with ice-cold saline and examined for macroscopic mucosal lesions with the help of magnifying lens. The mucosal lesions were expressed in terms of ulcer index (U.I) according to Peskar et al.(32) which depends on the calculation of a lesion index using a 0-3 scoring system based on the severity of each
lesion. The severity factor was defined according to the length of the lesions. Severity factor 0 = no lesions; 1 = lesions < 1 mm length; 2 = lesions 2-4 mm length and 3 = lesions > 4 mm length. The lesions score for each rat was calculated as the number of lesions in the rat multiplied by their respective severity factor. The U.I. for each group was taken as the mean lesion score of all the rats in that group. The preventive index (P.I.) of a given drug was calculated by the equation of Hano et al. (33)

\[ P.I. = \frac{U.I. of IND group - U.I. of pretreated group}{U.I. of IND group} \times 100 \]

**Analysis of gastric juice:**

At the end of experiment, 4 h after induction of gastric ulceration in (groups II, III, IV & V) and in the normal control, the gastric juice was collected from each animal and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min. to remove any solid debris and the volume was measured. The supernatant was then assayed for pH according to Moore (34), free and total acid outputs were measured respectively by Hara et al. (35) and Feldman (36) and the results were expressed in milliequivalent per liter (mEq/L), pepsin activity according to Sanyal et al. (37) and the results were expressed in μg/ml tyrosine and mucin content was measured by Beneyto and Colomé (38) and the results were expressed in mg% hexose.

**Biochemical analysis of gastric mucosa:**

The homogenate of gastric tissue was used for assay of Malondialdehyde (MDA) level according to the method of Mihara and Uchiyama (39) and the results were expressed in nmol/g tissue, NO content was determined as total nitrite/nitrate, the stable degradation products of NO according the method of Sastry et al. (40) and the results were expressed in nmol/g tissue, prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) assay was performed with PGE2 enzyme immunoassay kit according to Arvind et al. (41), and the results were expressed in ng/g tissue, myeloperoxidase (MPO) activity, a marker of neutrophil infiltration, was assayed according to the method of Bradley et al. (42) and the results were expressed in units/g tissue and Heme oxygenase (HO) activity was measured, as the ability of tissue homogenates to metabolize heme to bilirubin, according to Ishikawa et al. (43), HO activity was expressed as μg of bilirubin formed/mg protein per hour.

**Statistical analysis:**

The data were expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. Data from our study were analyzed using the unpaired student's t-test to assess significant difference between two groups. Statistical comparison between different groups was carried out by using one-way ANOVA. Significant results of analysis of variance were subjected to post hoc analysis (Tukey-Kramer multiple comparisons). P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant. All the analyses were performed using Graph Pad Instat, 32 bit for win 95/NT (Version 3.05).

**RESULTS**

**Effect of RAN and L-citrulline on gastric U.I. & P.I.:**

As shown in table 1, IND administration caused a remarkably high U.I. (25.2±1.87) when compared to normal control group (1.1±0.74). Pretreatment with RAN or L-citrulline offered significant protection against IND-induced gastric
Table (1): Effect of RAN and L-citrulline pretreatment, and their combination on U.I. and P.I. in IND-induced gastric ulcer in male albino Wister rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>U.I.</th>
<th>P.I.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>1.1±0.74</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IND</td>
<td>25.2±1.87a</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IND+RAN</td>
<td>10.2±1.03bc</td>
<td>59.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IND+L-citrulline</td>
<td>8.6±1.43abc</td>
<td>65.87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IND+RAN+L-citrulline</td>
<td>3.2±0.79abcd</td>
<td>87.30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are given as mean ± SD. *P < 0.05 vs control group. **P < 0.05 vs IND group. ***P < 0.05 vs IND+RAN group. ^P < 0.05 vs IND+ L-citrulline group.

Ulcer in the experimental rats, RAN reduced U.I. to (10.2±1.03) showing 59.52% prevention. Whereas, L-citrulline reduced U.I. to (8.6±1.43) showing 65.87% prevention. Pretreatment of rats with both RAN and L-citrulline produced higher gastroprotective effect as compared to RAN or L-citrulline alone, significantly decreased the U.I. to (3.2±0.79) providing 87.30% prevention against gastric mucosal injury.

Figure (1): Effect of RAN and L-citrulline pretreatment, and their combination on volume, pH, free and total acid output of the gastric juice in IND-induced gastric ulcer in male albino Wister rats. Data are given as mean ± SD. *P < 0.05 vs control group. **P < 0.05 vs IND group. ***P < 0.05 vs IND+RAN group. ^P < 0.05 vs IND+ L-citrulline group.

**Effect of RAN and L-citrulline on gastric juice analysis:**

IND administration caused significant decrease in pH value of gastric juice associated with significant increase in volume of gastric juice and free and total acidity as compared to the normal control group (fig.1). Pretreatment with RAN or L-citrulline alone produced significant increase in pH of gastric juice associated with significant decrease in volume of gastric juice and free and total acidity.
as compared to IND group. Co-administration of RAN and L-citrulline showed more significant increase in pH associated with potent efficacy in reduction of volume of gastric juice, and free and total acid output as compared to RAN or L-citrulline alone (table 2).

**Effect of RAN and L-citrulline on gastric mucosal PGE2 levels:**
The synthesis of mucosal PGE2 was markedly suppressed by IND as compared to the normal control rats. RAN pretreatment insignificantly affected PGE2 synthesis as compared to IND group. However, the mucosal synthesis of PGE2 increased significantly due to pretreatment with either L-citrulline alone or its combination with RAN compared to either IND group or RAN pretreated group (table 2).

**Effect of RAN and L-citrulline on gastric mucosal nitrites/nitrates content:**
As compared to the normal control group, gastric mucosal nitrites/nitrates content was significantly reduced from (269.4±5.50nmol/g tissue) to (198.0±5.16nmol/g tissue) in IND group. Pretreatment with RAN failed to alter significantly the gastric mucosal nitrites/nitrates content (205.1±10.35nmol/g tissue) when compared to IND group. While, pretreatment with L-citrulline significantly increased the gastric mucosal nitrites/nitrates content (285.3±4.11nmol/g tissue) when compared to either IND group or RAN pretreated group. More significant increase in the gastric mucosal nitrites/nitrates content(298.3±2.75nmol/g tissue) was observed when RAN co-administered with L-citrulline as compared to either IND group, RAN pretreated group or L-citrulline pretreated group(table 2).
Effect of RAN and L-citrulline on gastric mucosal MPO activity in gastric tissue:
The activity of gastric mucosal MPO was significantly increased in the IND group (0.773±0.011 unit/g tissue) as compared to the normal control group (0.198±0.010 unit/g tissue). Pretreatment with RAN produced insignificant change in MPO activity (0.722±0.083 unit/g tissue) compared to IND group. While, L-citrulline alone or its co-treatment with RAN produced more significant reduction in MPO activity (0.289±0.032 unit/g tissue) and (0.238±0.032 unit/g tissue) respectively as compared to either IND group, RAN pretreated group (table 2).

Effect of RAN and L-citrulline on gastric mucosal HO activity in gastric tissue:
Fig. 3 showed that the activity of gastric mucosal HO was insignificantly changed in IND group (0.048±0.022 μg/mg protein/h) as compared to the normal control group (0.105±0.021 μg/mg protein/h). Also, pretreatment with RAN insignificantly altered HO activity (0.098±0.025 μg/mg protein/h) compared to IND group. While, L-citrulline alone or its combination with RAN produced significant increase in HO activity (0.326±0.066 μg/mg protein/h) and (0.337±0.067 μg/mg protein/h) respectively as compared to either IND group or RAN pretreated group.

Table 2: Effect of RAN and L-citrulline pretreatment, and their combination on MDA and PGE2 nitrite/nitrate and MPO levels in IND-induced gastric ulcer in male albino Wister rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>MDA (nmol/g tissue)</th>
<th>PGE2 (mg/g tissue)</th>
<th>Nitrite/nitrate (nmol/g tissue)</th>
<th>MPO (unit/g tissue)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>47.2±8.16</td>
<td>505±30.28</td>
<td>269.4±5.50</td>
<td>0.198±0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IND</td>
<td>109.8±8.58a</td>
<td>303±15.85a</td>
<td>198.0±5.16a</td>
<td>0.773±0.011a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IND+RAN</td>
<td>58.2±8.61bc</td>
<td>309.4±17.60bc</td>
<td>205.1±10.35bc</td>
<td>0.722±0.083bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IND+ L-citrulline</td>
<td>53.4±6.66bc</td>
<td>482±35.21bc</td>
<td>285.3±4.11bc</td>
<td>0.289±0.032bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IND+ RAN +L-citrulline</td>
<td>42.4±7.70bcd</td>
<td>491±33.48bcd</td>
<td>298.3±2.75bcd</td>
<td>0.238±0.032bcd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are given as mean ± SD. *P < 0.05 vs control group. **P < 0.05 vs IND group. ***P < 0.05 vs IND+RAN group. ****P < 0.05 vs IND+ L-citrulline group.

Figure (2): Effect of RAN and L-citrulline pretreatment, and their combination on pepsin activity and mucin content in IND-induced gastric ulcer in male albino Wister rats. Data are given as mean ± SD. *P < 0.05 vs control group. *P < 0.05 vs IND group. #P < 0.05 vs IND+RAN group. $P < 0.05 vs IND+ L-citrulline group.
DISCUSSION

NSAIDs are one of the most widely used classes of drugs in the world (12). NSAIDs-induced gastric ulceration is the major side effect of this kind of drugs (14). Therefore, drugs that have the potential to reduce NSAIDs side effects should be selected for patients taking NSAIDs concomitantly for treatment of other medical conditions (7).

In the present study, IND administration induced severe gastric mucosal ulcerations, which were accompanied by significant increase in U.I., volume of gastric juice, free and total acidity, pepsin activity, gastric MDA and MPO activity with concomitant reduction in pH of gastric juice, mucin content, NO (nitrite/nitrate) and PGE2 levels compared to the normal control rats, and insignificantly affect HO activity as compared to the normal control.

The gastrototoxic effects of NSAIDs, including IND are attributed to the non-selective inhibition of cyclooxygenases (COX1 and COX2) with subsequent reduction in PGs production, which believed to have potent anti-ulcer and cytoprotective properties (13). Ulceration due to IND could also be due to its ability to induce reactive oxygen metabolites, which may in turn promote lipid peroxidation and gastric damage (12).

The results of the present work showed that oral administration of RAN significantly reduced the U.I. showing 59.52% prevention, also significantly reduced the volume of gastric juice, free and total acidity and pepsin activity. However, the drug has not produced any significant quantitative change in mucin content. These finding are in agreement with the results obtained by Kalra et al. (44). The effect of RAN is mediated through histamine H2 receptors. H2 receptors on the parietal cell mediates the stimulatory effect of histamine on acid secretion (45). RAN, a histamine H2 receptor antagonist, blocks the H2-receptors resulting in a decrease in the secretory actions of gastrin and acetylcholine on the parietal cells (46).

![Figure (3)](image-url)

Our present study demonstrated that intragastric administration of L-citrulline, for 7 days before induction of gastric ulceration by IND, resulted in significant decrease in U.I. showing 65.87% prevention, also significantly reduced volume of gastric juice, free and total acidity and pepsin activity.
activity with concomitant increase of pH of gastric juice and mucin content.

The mechanism which underlie the gastroprotection of L-citrulline might be attributed to its direct antioxidant and free radical scavenging activity(47), or indirectly due to augmentation of the intracellular reduced glutathione , reduced glutathione peroxidase and superoxide dismutase in the rat gastric tissues, all of which can scavenge superoxide, hydrogen peroxide, hydroxyl and lipid peroxyl radicals and attenuate damages to the tissues(48). In addition, the gastroprotection of L-citrulline might be due to the recycling pathway from L-citrulline to L-arginine and NO(25). The recycling pathway might be crucial in sustaining the production of NO, which may be important for the integrity of the gastric mucosa in health and disease through its anti-microbial actions and by influencing mucus production by the gastric mucosa (49).

Also, in our present study, the significant decrease of the peptic activity of L-citrulline as compared to IND group may be attributed to the detected increase in gastric juice pH as gastric acidity is essential for cleavage of pepsinogen to the active pepsin.

In our present work, treatment with L-citrulline protected the gastric mucosa from damage by increasing the mucin content significantly as compared to IND group. The increased gastric mucin following L-citrulline treatment may be due to the observed increase in NO level in the present study since NO is a known stimulant of mucus secretion by activating soluble guanylyl cyclase (50). Also, apparently, the free radicals scavenging property of L-citrulline might contribute in protecting the oxidative damage of gastric mucosa and hence, preventing the decrease in mucin content (47).

Our results of the present study showed that IND administration resulted in significant increase in the gastric MDA levels as compared to the normal control rats. This increase in MDA levels may be attributed to that the ability of IND to induce the reactive oxygen metabolites. These free radicals damage the cellular antioxidant enzymes which lead to oxidative stress in gastric tissue causing damage to key bimolecules such as lipids leading to stimulation of lipid oxidation(28).

As shown in the present results, RAN treatment significantly reversed the IND-induced changes in MDA which is in agreement with Dursun et al.(51). This significant reduction in MDA levels suggested decreased lipid peroxidation and antioxidant activity of RAN. RAN, an anti-secretory drug, has often been reported to possess antioxidant and immunosuppressive actions, which may be responsible for its anti-ulcerogenic activity(1).

In our present study, L-citrulline significantly reduced gastric MDA as compared to IND-group, it brought MDA levels closer to normal levels. The mechanism by which L-citrulline decreased the MDA levels could be attributed to its antioxidant effect (47).

In the present study, IND significantly reduced gastric mucosal NO level compared to the normal control group. This finding was in accordance with Fouad et al(52) who reported a decrease in NO level in gastric tissue damage by IND. Adhikary et al. (53) also reported administration of IND was associated with a decrease in NO biosynthesis, as a result of decreased nitric oxide synthase activity.
that was associated with an increase in the extent damage.

In our present study, RAN pretreatment for one week before induction of gastric ulcer by IND, insignificantly affect NO levels as compared to IND group. While, pretreatment with L-citrulline significantly prevented the decrease of NO level in the gastric mucosa is induced by IND. The possible mechanism of the increased NO production by L-citrulline was explained previously due to recycling pathway from L-citrulline to L-arginine and NO (25).

Our experimental results showed that IND significantly reduced gastric mucosal PGE2 levels compared to the normal control group. In agreement with previous data, PG has been shown to be reduced in animals by IND treatment (54). PG, a key molecule that stimulates the complex array of ulcer healing mechanism, gets synthesized in the mucosal cells by COX enzymes (55). IND causes gastric ulcer mostly by inhibiting PG synthesis through inhibition of COX enzymes (13).

The results of the present work showed that pretreatment with RAN alone, for 7 days before the induction of gastric ulcer by IND, insignificantly affect PGE2 synthesis as compared to IND group. While, pretreatment with L-citrulline was significantly increased PGE2 levels when compared to IND group and RAN pretreated group. The mechanism by which L-citrulline increased PGE2 synthesis might be due to NO recycling pathway as NO has been suggested to activate COX enzymes directly (56).

In the present study, we observed a significant increase in MPO activity in the gastric mucosa following IND administration as compared to the normal control, which confirmed the infiltration and activation of neutrophils in the gastric mucosa produced by IND as the neutrophil infiltration into the gastric mucosal tissue is assessed by MPO activity (42).

In our experimental pretreatment with RAN insignificantly affected MPO activity in the gastric mucosa as compared to IND group. While, L-citrulline significantly inhibited the increased MPO activity as compared to IND group, which might suggest that L-citrulline inhibited the neutrophil infiltration into the gastric tissue (57).

In the present study, L-citrulline significantly increased HO activity in rat gastric mucosa as compared to IND group. HO is an inducible stress protein is implicated in cytoprotection in various organs via the anti-inflammatory, antiapoptotic, antioxidant and anti-proliferative actions of one or more of its three products carbon monoxide, biliverdin and free iron (58). Uc et al.(59) reported that HO protects against irritant-induced gastric lesions. The mechanism by which L-citrulline increased HO activity in gastric mucosa might be attributed to NO production as it was proved that NO acts as HO-1 inducer (58).

Our results showed that the combination between RAN and L-citrulline had more efficacy in attenuation of gastric ulceration induced by IND, U.I. was more significantly decreased associated with higher significant P.I. (87.30%) when compared to treatment with either RAN or L-citrulline alone. The combination resulted in more significant decrease of volume of gastric juice, free and total acidity and pepsin activity associated with more significant increase of gastric pH as compared to either treatment with RAN or L-citrulline alone while, mucin content also
significantly increased as compared to RAN treated group, but no significant change as compared to L-citrulline treated group.

Also, co-administration of RAN and L-citrulline produced more significant decrease in MDA level as compared to treatment with either RAN or L-citrulline alone. The results showed that the RAN and L-citrulline co-treatment produced more significant increase in PGE2 synthesis as compared to RAN treated group but no significant difference as compared to L-citrulline treated group.

Also, co-administration of RAN and L-citrulline produced more significant decrease in MDA level as compared to treatment with either RAN or L-citrulline alone. The results showed that the RAN and L-citrulline co-treatment produced more significant increase in PGE2 synthesis as compared to treatment with either RAN or L-citrulline alone.

Finally, the results of the present work showed that co-administration of RAN and L-citrulline significantly increased NO production as compared to treatment with either RAN treated group or L-citrulline treated group.

The more efficient gastroprotective effect due to combination of both RAN and L-citrulline might be explained by their synergetic effect.

Conclusions:

Pretreatment with L-citrulline can protect IND-induced gastric ulceration due to its antioxidant effect, and increasing the mucin content, increasing NO production via citrulline–NO cycle, increasing PGE 2 synthesis and HO activity, while, inhibiting neutrophil infiltration that assayed by decreasing the activity of gastric MPO, and reduction of gastric mucosal lipid peroxidation (MDA). The protection afforded by Co-treatment of L-citrulline and RAN was found to be better than that of L-citrulline alone or RAN alone. Consequently, L-citrulline can be used together with RAN for the treatment of gastric ulcer.
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ملخص البحث

هدف البحث: معرفة تأثير ل-سيترونين وراتيندرين على فرصة المعدة المستحدثة بالاندوميثايين في ذكور الفئران البضاء من النوع وسط.

الطريقة: تم إجراء البحث على 50 ذكرًا من ذكور الفئران البضاء من النوع وسط، وزنها بين 180-200جم، تم تقسيمها إلى خمس مجموعات (10 فئران/ مجموعة).

المحادثة الضامة: تم إعطاءهم ملحوظ للطريق الفم.

النتائج: استخدمت فرصة المعدة في هذه المجموعة بواسطة جرعة واحدة من الاندوميثايين (30مجم/ كجم) عن طريق الفم.

ملاحظات: وقد تم معالجتها بالراتيندرين (50مجم/ كجم) عن طريق الفم لمدة 7 أيام قبل استعداد فرصة المعدة بالاندوميثايين.

ملاحظات: وقد تم معالجتها بكل من الـ جون و- لسترونلي، وقد تم معالجتها بكل من الـ جون و- لسترونلي، وقد تم معالجتها بكل من الـ جون و- لسترونلي، وقد تم معالجتها بكل من الـ جون و- لسترونلي.

جودة: فعل استعداد فرصة المعدة بالاندوميثايين.

خطة: في نهاية الدراسة تم دمج الفئران وقياس مؤشر الفرصة ومؤشر الوقائية. وقد تم جمع عصير المعدة لتحليل درجة الحمضية، وحجم الامتصاص بالمجمعة الضامة والكلي للإعداد، محتوى البيرسيفينيغ محتوى الميلوبيروكسيدين E2 نشاط الميلروبيروكسيدين E2 أكسيد النيتروجين (E2) نشاط الميلروبيروكسيدين E2 أكسيد التيتربن/ إتير/ راتينندين ين في النتيجة، أظهرت النتائج أن الاندوميثايين قد أدى إلى انخفاض في درجة الحمضية، كما أدى إلى زيادة ذات دالة إحصائية في حجم عصير المعدة، الحمضية الجزئية والكلية للمعدة، نشاط البيرسيفينيغ محتوى البيرسيفينيغ مع الاختلافات المصاحبة في درجة الحمضية لعصير المعدة، محتوى الميلروبيروكسيدين E2 أكسيد التيتربن.

المجموعة الضامنة: في نهاية الدراسة تم دمج الفئران وقياس مؤشر الفرصة ومؤشر الوقائية. وقد تم جمع عصير المعدة لتحليل درجة الحمضية، وحجم الامتصاص بالمجمعة الضامة والكلي للإعداد.

النتائج: وقد تم معالجتها بكل من الـ جون و- لسترونلي. وقد تم معالجتها بكل من الـ جون و- لسترونلي، وقد تم معالجتها بكل من الـ جون و- لسترونلي.

بمجرد رفاعة ذلك من زيادة كبيرة في محتوى الميلروبيروكسيدين، أكسيد النيتروجين (E2) ونشاط الميلروبيروكسيدين E2، ونشاط الميلروبيروكسيدين، بأنشطة مصاحبة في المجموعة الضامنة بمقارنة مع مجموعة الـ جون و- لسترونلي. و questa المعالجة بالراتيندرين.

بمجرد رفاعة ذلك من زيادة كبيرة في محتوى الميلروبيروكسيدين، أكسيد النيتروجين (E2) ونشاط الميلروبيروكسيدين E2، ونشاط الميلروبيروكسيدين، بأنشطة مصاحبة في المجموعة الضامنة بمقارنة مع مجموعة الـ جون و- لسترونلي. و questa المعالجة بالراتيندرين.

الخلاصة:

العلاج بكل من راتيندرين و- لسترونلي، يمكن إمكانية لهدية ضد فرصة المعدة المستحدثة بالاندوميثايين أفضل من كل دواء على.