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ABSTRACT

Ageing is a complex process involving many variables (e.g., genetics, lifestyle factors, chronic diseases) that interact with one another, greatly influencing the manner in which we age. The lungs of elderly persons are subjected to a lifetime of exposure to known and unknown harmful agents. The aim of this study was to investigate the responses of ventilatory functions to breathing exercise versus breathing exercise with chest mobilizing exercise in elderly. In this study, 30 elderly subjects were clinically examined & subjected to laboratory investigations, and then randomly divided into 2 groups (A & B), where group “A” received diaphragmatic breathing exercise & incentive spirometer while group “B” received diaphragmatic breathing exercise, incentive spirometer & chest mobilizing exercise. The variables related to ventilatory functions Forced Vital Capacity (FVC), Forced Expiratory Volume at the 1st second (FEV1) & Maximum voluntary ventilation (MVV) had been measured twice; 1st at the beginning of the program (pre-exercise) & 2nd after 8 weeks (post-exercise). The results showed that the ventilatory functions reported statistical significant (p<0.0001) improvement at post-exercise within each group when compared with pre-exercise mean values.

INTRODUCTION

The process of ageing and senescence is associated with a decline in several organ functions, ultimately takes away independence and reduces quality of life. The impaired functional reserves of the immune, pulmonary & cardiovascular systems are considered the most important causes of increased hospitalization in the older population.(1)

As ageing occurs, the respiratory system undergoes a measurable decline in its physiological function including thoracic cage stiffness which can be attributed to calcification of the costal cartilages and articulations between the vertebrae and ribs which results in increased kyphosis with increased work demand of the respiratory muscles and so increased breathing, while decrease in FEV1, FVC & VC and increased RV & increase in FRC.(2)

Spirometric studies may be served as useful indicator for the purpose of anticipating and preventing complications of pulmonary impairment.(3)
Breathing exercises are used to improve respiratory muscle function, exercise performance & health related quality of life in aged patients.\(^{(4)}\)

Chest mobilization exercises are any exercises that combine active movements of the trunk or extremities with deep breathing.\(^{(5)}\)

Improvement in FVC is due to increasing the strength of respiratory muscles and decreasing the airway resistance.\(^{(6)}\)

Inspiratory muscle training will improve ventilatory limitation for patients with COPD of ≥80 years old.\(^{(7)}\)

**SUBJECTS, MATERIALS & METHODS**

**Subjects:**

30 elderly subjects of both sexes, their average age was between 65 – 80 years old, their average body weight according to BMI ranges between 22.1 – 24.9 kg/m\(^2\), all of them were clinically examined for chest diseases (e.g. Pulmonary embolism), cardiovascular diseases (e.g. Heart failure) and neurological diseases, in addition, all of them were subjected to laboratory investigations (i.e. CBC, sGOT, sGPT, sCreatinine, FBS, PPBS, sCholesterol “Total, HDL & LDL” and Triglycerides) and they were also subjected to ECG & Echo Cardio Gram to be sure that they were free from any medical problems (that may interfere with their performance), non smokers & mentally alert.

**Materials:**

a) For evaluation:

1- “Weight and height scale” to calculate the BMI, as:

\[
\text{BMI} = \frac{\text{weight (Kg)}}{\text{height (m}^2)}
\]

b) For treatment:

1- “Incentive spirometer (IS)”, Triflow II type RESPI PROGRAM.

2- “Stop watch” to calculate the time of exercise.

**Methods:**

a) Evaluation procedures:

Subjects were medically examined and data were collected and recorded in sheets for each subject (i.e. name, age, weight & height).

Computerized spirometer was used for each subject to record the initial FVC, FEV\(_1\) & MVV.

I- Procedures to measure FVC:

1. Let the subject to be in a sitting position.

2. The subject was told to close his lips firmly around the new mouth piece that was given to him.

3. Pressing the “FVC push” button.

4. The subject was asked to fill his lungs with air slowly & completely, and then exhale it as quickly & completely as possible through the mouth piece.

N.B. Let the subject use a nasal clip to make sure that there is no air escapes from the nose.

II- Procedures to measure FEV\(_1\):

1. Follow the same steps in 1 & 2 (as FVC).

2. Pressing “FEV\(_1\).push” button.

3. The subject was asked to take normal quite breath, and then blow it hard & fast as much as possible through the mouth piece.

N.B. This was repeated for 3 times & then the average was taken.

III- Procedures to measure MVV:
1. Follow the same steps in 1 & 2 (as FVC).
2. Pressing “MVV push” button.
3. The subject was asked to inhale & exhale as quickly as possible (as the person would hyperventilate for 12 sec).

N.B. The test was done in a well lightened & properly ventilated room (i.e. without air drafts).

b) Therapeutic procedures:

The participants were randomly assigned into 2 equal groups:

**Group (A):**

15 elderly subjects who received the breathing exercises program which consisted of:

1) Diaphragmatic breathing exercise (15 min):
   - The subject was sat in a long sitting position in bed with head & trunk supported comfortably & elevated about 45°; both knees were slightly flexed & supported by pillow & the abdominal muscles remained relaxed.
   - The therapist's hand was put on the upper part of rectus abdominis.
   - The subject was asked to breathe in through his nose slowly & deeply while keeping his shoulders relaxed and the upper chest quiet & allowing his abdomen to rise.
   - The subject was instructed to get all the air out from his mouth as much as possible.

N.B. That was done 3 times then rest for 30 sec and was repeated for 15 min/session.

2) Incentive spirometer (15 min):
   - The subject was placed in a comfortable position.
   - The subject was asked to hold the spirometry by one hand and the tube of mouthpiece by the other hand.
   - He was asked to take 3-4 slow easy breaths.
   - He was asked to maximally exhale with the 4th breath.
   - The subject was asked to place the incentive spirometer in his mouth and maximally inhale through the spirometry and hold the inspiration for several sec and then rest for 60 sec.

N.B. That was repeated for 15 min.

**Group (B):**

15 elderly subjects who received the breathing exercises program which consisted of:

1) Diaphragmatic breathing exercise (10 min).
2) Incentive spirometer (10 min).
3) Chest mobilizing exercise (10 min):
   -- To mobilize the upper chest & stretch the pectoralis muscles:
      - The subject was asked to sit on an armed chair with his hands clasped behind his head.
      - Then he abducted his arms during inspiration.
      - Then he brought his elbows together & then bent them forward during expiration.

N.B. That was repeated 3-4 times then rest for 60 sec.

-- To increase expiration during deep breathing:
   - The subject was asked to breathe in while he was sitting in a "hooked lying position" (i.e. hips & knees are slightly flexed).
   - He was instructed to pull both knees to the chest (one at a time to protect his low back) during expiration.
   - That pushed the abdominal contents superiorly into the diaphragm to assist with expiration.

N.B. That was repeated 3-4 times.

The whole program was performed for each group 3times/week for 8 weeks.
RESULTS

Table (1): Demographic characteristics of both groups:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>BMI (Kg/m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gr (A)</td>
<td>Gr (B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>73.47</td>
<td>73.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD±</td>
<td>4.91±</td>
<td>5.12±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T - value</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P - value</td>
<td>0.963 (P &gt; 0.05)</td>
<td>0.741 (P &gt; 0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significance</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (2): Comparison between the mean values of ventilatory functions at pre & post exercises for each group (A & B):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ventilatory functions</th>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>X±SD</th>
<th>MD</th>
<th>T-value</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Sign.</th>
<th>% of changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FVC (Liters)</td>
<td>Gr. A</td>
<td>Pre-exercise</td>
<td>1.105±0.077</td>
<td>-0.245</td>
<td>6.216</td>
<td>0.0001 (P&lt;0.05)</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Post-exercise</td>
<td>1.350±0.107</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gr. B</td>
<td>Pre-exercise</td>
<td>1.103±0.077</td>
<td>-0.365</td>
<td>12.192</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Post-exercise</td>
<td>1.469±0.074</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁ (Liters)</td>
<td>Gr. A</td>
<td>Pre-exercise</td>
<td>1.390±0.213</td>
<td>-0.223</td>
<td>7.275</td>
<td>0.0001 (P&lt;0.05)</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Post-exercise</td>
<td>1.613±0.166</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gr. B</td>
<td>Pre-exercise</td>
<td>1.357±0.222</td>
<td>-0.289</td>
<td>6.093</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Post-exercise</td>
<td>1.646±0.122</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVV (Liters/min)</td>
<td>Gr. A</td>
<td>Pre-exercise</td>
<td>43.410±1.383</td>
<td>-6.554</td>
<td>11.447</td>
<td>0.0001 (P&lt;0.05)</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Post-exercise</td>
<td>49.964±3.114</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gr. B</td>
<td>Pre-exercise</td>
<td>43.967±1.350</td>
<td>-10.509</td>
<td>8.410</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Post-exercise</td>
<td>54.475±5.394</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table (3): Comparative analysis of the mean values of ventilatory functions between both groups (A & B) at pre & post exercises:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ventilatory functions</th>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>X±SD</th>
<th>MD</th>
<th>T-value</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Sign.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FVC (Liters)</strong></td>
<td>Pre-exercise Gr. A</td>
<td>1.105 ±0.077</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.94 (p&gt;0.05)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gr. B</td>
<td>1.103 ±0.077</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-exercise Gr. A</td>
<td>1.363 ±0.099</td>
<td>-0.106</td>
<td>3.333</td>
<td>0.002 (p&lt;0.05)</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gr. B</td>
<td>1.469 ±0.074</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FEV₁ (Liters)</strong></td>
<td>Pre-exercise Gr. A</td>
<td>1.390 ±0.213</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.411</td>
<td>0.68 (p&gt;0.05)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gr. B</td>
<td>1.357 ±0.222</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-exercise Gr. A</td>
<td>1.613 ±0.166</td>
<td>-0.033</td>
<td>0.684</td>
<td>0.54 (p&gt;0.05)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gr. B</td>
<td>1.646 ±0.122</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MVV (Liters/min)</strong></td>
<td>Pre-exercise Gr. A</td>
<td>43.410 ±1.383</td>
<td>-0.557</td>
<td>1.116</td>
<td>0.27 (p&gt;0.05)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gr. B</td>
<td>43.967 ±1.350</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-exercise Gr. A</td>
<td>49.964 ±3.114</td>
<td>-4.505</td>
<td>2.799</td>
<td>0.009 (p&lt;0.05)</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gr. B</td>
<td>54.469 ±5.399</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

X = Mean  
SD = Standard deviation  
MD = Mean difference  
T value = Paired T value  
P value = Probability value  
Min = Minute  
Sign. = Significance  
S = Significant  
NS = Non Significant  
Gr. = Group
DISCUSSION

The evaluation of ventilatory functions was made with Futuremed Discovery Spirometer which allows easy, sensitive and rapid calculation of FVC, FEV₁ & MVV. These measurements were recorded for each subject at both groups twice, 1st one was before the program & the 2nd one was at the end of the 8th week.

Aaron et al., 1999 used spirometer to analyze ventilatory functions in pulmonary impairment conditions.\(^{(8)}\)

Considering to previous concepts Balatopoulos et al., 2000 used spirometry to detect FVC, FEV₁ & MVV for elderly.\(^{(9)}\)

According to Youn et al., 2001 that used spirometry as the main technique currently used to detect altered ventilatory functions in elderly.\(^{(10)}\)

Ali, H. et al., 2007 used spirometry to detect FVC & FEV₁ for 30 moderate asthmatic patients. Also, El-Sayed et al., 2007 used spirometry to study the relation of ventilatory function to different classes of obese women.\(^{(11)}\)

All participants were assigned into 2 equal studied groups: "group A" had performed diaphragmatic breathing exercise and incentive spirometer exercise, while "group B" had performed diaphragmatic breathing exercise, incentive spirometer exercise and chest mobilizing exercise.

The present study demonstrated that elderly subject tends to make a significant decrease in FVC, FEV₁ & MVV after the age of 65 years.

Many elderly subjects are at risk of respiratory failure due to effect of age on their ventilatory system and the deteriorious effects of toxins & respiratory diseases.\(^{(12)}\)

These findings were agreed with that reported by John & Jay, 2000 who found a significant reduction in FVC, FEV₁ & MVV with aging.\(^{(13)}\)

So due to the changes in the chest wall & lungs with aging; there is a progressive decrease in the vital capacity and an increase in the residual volume.\(^{(14)}\)

The result of this study showed that: "group A" at the pre-exercise showed the mean of ventilatory functions as the following; FVC was 1.105 L, FEV₁ was 1.390 L and MVV was 43.410, while after 8 weeks they became 1.350L, 1.613 L & 49.964 L respectively with improved percentage of 22.20%, 16.06% & 15.10% respectively.

The combination of incentive spirometer & deep breathing exercise were used at 1996 by Hall et al., as a prophylaxis against respiratory deterioration after abdominal surgery.\(^{(15)}\)

At the same point Kurabayashi, 1998 stated that the breathing exercises can improve ventilatory functions and blood gas exchange in patients over 80 years with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.\(^{(16)}\)

Weiner et al., 1999 concluded a significant increase in FVC & FEV₁ by diaphragmatic breathing exercise.\(^{(17)}\)

The improvement of ventilatory functions after breathing exercise in elderly may result from correction in efficiency of abnormal breathing.
pattern and strengthening of diaphragm (George et al., 2000), or due to facilitation of deep breathing or enhancement of chest expansion according to Weiner et al., 1999. The current results were supported by George et al., 2000 who stated that diaphragmatic breathing exercise is a maneuver to increase tidal volume & ventilatory functions.

The results of ventilatory functions showed significant improvement after the study program that agree with outcome of the research findings of Mahmoud et al., 2002 who experienced a significant improvement in ventilatory function tests after six weeks of physical training in the form of diaphragmatic breathing exercise, incentive spirometer, gait training & upper limb exercise associated with respiration.

While for "group B" the pre-exercise showed the mean of ventilatory functions as the following: FVC was 1.103 L, FEV$_1$ was 1.357 L and MVV was 43.967, while after 8 weeks they became 1.469 L, 1.646 L & 54.475 L respectively with highly significant improved percentage of 33.12%, 21.27% & 23.90% respectively.

A significant increase in FEV$_1$ & MVV in elderly subjects was observed. This could be due to strengthening of respiratory muscles and improvement in elastic properties of the lungs & chest incidental to regular practice of forced breathing, similar ventilatory training even in subjects with chronic obstructive pulmonary diseases have been shown to improve these ventilatory functions of the lungs.

Merk, 2001 mentioned that MVV is important because it reflects the severity of airway obstruction as well as the patient's respiratory reserves, muscle strength & motivation. The current results were supported by McKeough (B) et al., 2003 who stated that when the arms are elevated above 90°, some muscles as Pectoralis will expand the rib cage by passive stretching, where as others, such as Serratus anterior will do so by active contraction.

Plekonen et al., 2003 claimed that improvement of maximum voluntary ventilation may be referred to increase in inspiratory & expiratory muscles power and endurance capabilities as well as improved compliance of the lung-thorax system and so the ability of respiratory muscles to contract & relax rapidly and deeply is enhanced.

Concerning sex in relation to the ventilatory functions, there was no significant difference between female & male in both groups.

Also Iskander et al., 2003 who proved that there was no significant difference between female & male in the results of pre- & post- courses of exercise for FVC, FEV$_1$ & MVV when applying breathing exercises on elderly subjects.

Abd Al-Al et al., 2006 who proved that there was non significant correlation between sex & percentage of improvement when the ventilatory function responses were studied to support the versus unsupported arm exercise among elderly.

The results were inconsistent with Iskander et al., 2003 who proved that there was a significant difference in maximum voluntary ventilation in
pre- & post- course of breathing exercise towards males.\textsuperscript{(23)}

**Conclusion:**

The result of the current study confirmed that diaphragmatic breathing exercise, incentive spirometer and chest mobilizing exercise produce significant and remarkable benefits on ventilatory functions, specially FVC & MVV in elderly subjects.

**Recommendation:**

It was recommended by the physical therapist to follow the diaphragmatic breathing exercise, incentive spirometer and chest mobilizing exercise for the elderly subjects to improve the ventilatory functions.
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دراسة استجابة ووظائف التنفس لممارسة التمارين الحركية عند المسنين

محمد إبراهيم، ن. م. ماهر، ه. م. سعيد

تعتبر الشيخوخة钻石 معمقة في حد ذاتها في تشغيل عدد جوانب منها ما يتعلق بالحياة والعمر وتعزز بعضًا منها وتشدّد بعضًا الآخر. وتؤثر بشكل كبير على حدة أعراض الشيخوخة ان الرئة عند الأشخاص الذين تكون قد تعرضت على مدى العمر كثيرون من التمارين المفيدة (منها المرتفعة ومنها الهالوغراف) والتي تترك أثرًا في جميع جوانب الهزال الجليدي.

في هذه الدراسة تم تقسيم الباحثين إلى قسمين: جماعة تمرين التمارين الهالوة (ج) وجماعة التمارين الهالوة مع التمارين الحركية (ج+ج). وتم تقسيم كل جماعة إلى مجموعتين، حيث كانت نسبة التحسين في وظائف التنفس في المجموعة (ج) متسقة مع نسبة التحسين في المجموعة (ج+ج). كانOverlay: رسم بياني

النتائج: تبينت النتائج أن التمارين الحركية كانت تؤثر بشكل معقد على وظائف التنفس، حيث أن التمارين الحركية تؤثر بشكل كبير على وظائف التنفس في الجماعة المختلطة. وأخيرًا، هذه الدراسة تؤكد أن تمارينها تشعر الرئة في المجموعة (ج+ج) بشكل أكبر من المجموعة (ج) ومجموعة التمارين الحركية (ج).